


#5



169.2130

PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)	
	:	Examiner: Unassigned
DANIEL JOHN LLOYD-JONES)	
	:	Group Art Unit: 2621
Application No.: 09/915,324)	
	:	
Filed: July 27, 2001)	
	:	
For: AUTOMATIC PERSON)	
META-DATA LABELLER	:	September 24, 2001

RECEIVED
SEP 25 2001
Technology Center 2600

Commissioner For Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

CLAIM FOR PRIORITY

Sir:

Applicant hereby claims priority under the International Convention and all rights to which he is entitled under 35 U.S.C. § 119 based upon the following Australian Patent Application:

PQ 9216 filed August 4, 2000.

A certified copy of the priority document is enclosed.

Applicant's undersigned attorney may be reached in our Washington, D.C. office by telephone at (202) 530-1010. All correspondence should be directed to our below listed address.

Respectfully submitted,



Attorney for Applicant

Registration No. 32,078

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112-3801
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

CPW\gmc

DC_MAIN 72029 v 1



Appln. No. 09/915,324
Filed July 27, 2001
Group - 2621



RECEIVED
SEP 25 2001
Technology Center 2600

Patent Office
Canberra

I, GAYE TURNER, TEAM LEADER EXAMINATION SUPPORT AND SALES hereby certify that annexed is a true copy of the Provisional specification in connection with Application No. PQ 9216 for a patent by CANON KABUSHIKI KAISHA filed on 04 August 2000.

I further certify that pursuant to the provisions of Section 38(1) of the Patents Act 1990 a complete specification was filed on 27 July 2001 and it is an associated application to Provisional Application No. PQ 9216 and has been allocated No. 57684/01.



WITNESS my hand this
Tenth day of August 2001

G Turner

GAYE TURNER
ACTING TEAM LEADER
EXAMINATION SUPPORT AND SALES

CERTIFIED COPY OF
PRIORITY DOCUMENT

ORIGINAL

AUSTRALIA

Patents Act 1990

PROVISIONAL SPECIFICATION FOR THE INVENTION ENTITLED:

Automatic Person Meta-data Labeller

Name and Address of Applicant:

Canon Kabushiki Kaisha, incorporated in Japan, of 30-2, Shimomaruko 3-chome, Ohta-ku, Tokyo, 146, Japan

Name of Inventor:

Daniel John Lloyd-Jones

This invention is best described in the following statement:

AUTOMATIC PERSON META-DATA LABELLER

Technical Field of the Invention

The present invention relates generally to the field of image post-processing and, in particular, to aspects of collation and sorting of images. The present invention relates to a method and apparatus for identifying a designated person in a plurality of images. The invention also relates to a computer program product including a computer readable medium having recorded thereon a computer program for identifying a designated person in a plurality of images.

10

Background Art

The advent of digital photography encourages users of digital cameras to take large numbers of photographs, this deriving, at least in part, from the fact that such photographs do not require traditional development, with its cost and inconvenience. Digital images are, in contrast, available almost immediately, once the film diskette is suitably loaded into a personal computer (PC), or suitable display device.

It is, however, time consuming for the photographer to sort and label these voluminous images, and consequently, the sorting and labelling process is often neglected. As a result, the photographer runs a risk of accumulating a growing number of images, many of which are not readily accessed because of the absence of a convenient method of labelling.

Present methods of automatic identification using image processing are still unsatisfactory, and often cannot detect the presence of any person in an image, let alone a specific person. It is possible, for example, to detect the presence of people in a photograph using detection of skin colour via colour histograms, however this technique is non-specific, and relatively inaccurate.

Disclosure of the Invention

It is an object of the present invention to substantially overcome, or at least ameliorate, one or more disadvantages of existing arrangements.

According to a first aspect of the invention, there is provided a method of
5 identifying a person in a target image, said method comprising steps of:

selecting a fashion accessory worn by the person in an indicative image, said fashion accessory having a distinctive colour;

searching said target image for the fashion accessory; and

tagging the image if said fashion accessory is found.

10 According to another aspect of the invention there is provided a computer program product including a computer readable medium having recorded thereon a computer program for implementing any one of the methods described above.

Brief Description of the Drawings

15 A number of preferred embodiments of the present invention will now be described with reference to the drawings, in which:

Fig. 1 depicts an illustrative image containing a number of people and other objects;

Fig. 2 shows a flow process diagram for a preferred embodiment of the
20 invention;

Fig. 3 depicts one method of fashion accessory selection in accordance with the preferred embodiment;

Fig. 4 provides more detail for the initial manual sub-process of Fig. 2;

Fig. 5 shows the time-stamp grouping process of Fig. 4 in more detail;

25 Fig. 6 depicts a seed/region growing technique in accordance with the preferred embodiment;

Fig. 7 shows the automatic identification step discussed in relation to Fig. 2 in more detail; and

Fig. 8 is a schematic block diagram of a general purpose computer upon which the preferred embodiment of the present invention can be practiced.

Detailed Description including Best Mode

5 Where reference is made in any one or more of the accompanying drawings to steps and/or features, which have the same reference numerals, those steps and/or features have for the purposes of this description the same function(s) or operation(s), unless the contrary intention appears.

10 In the context of this specification, the word “comprising” means “including principally but not necessarily solely” or “having” or “including” and not “consisting only of”. Variations of the word comprising, such as “comprise” and “comprises” have corresponding meanings. Furthermore, the terms “image”, and “photograph” are used interchangeably, unless otherwise indicated, and the terms “clothing” and “fashion accessory” are also used interchangeably, unless a contrary intention is stated.

15 Fig. 1 shows a “stick-person” representation of a photograph to be processed in accordance with an embodiment of the invention. The photograph 100 depicts a number of people 102-108, and a tree 118. Each person 102 to 108 is wearing various articles of clothing and other fashion accessories, a distinctive fashion accessory or item of clothing for each being depicted as 110 to 116 respectively.

20 The preferred embodiment is most effective if a fashion accessory 110 worn by a person 102 has a distinctive colour which is unique, ie. no one else in the set of photographs is wearing an accessory with the same colour. The preferred embodiment is, however, still advantageous to the user, even when the aforementioned condition is not strictly met.

25 Fig. 2 shows a flow chart representation of a labelling process 214 in the preferred embodiment. The labelling process 214 requires the user to designate, in a step 200, a desired person in an indicative image, whereafter the preferred embodiment automatically identifies the presence of that person in the remaining images under consideration, or in a subset thereof. This approach is particularly applicable for

automatic labelling of photographs from a particular event, such as a party. In Fig. 2, the labelling process 214 commences with an initial manual processing step 200, after which an automatic identification step 204 is performed. Thereafter, the labelling process 214 presents, to the user, a reduced sub-set of selected images in a step 208. Consequently the user, in a final manual selection step 212, discards any remaining incorrectly identified photographs, thereby arriving at a set of photographs with the desired person 102 being present therein.

The aforementioned embodiment need not be 100% effective in order to be useful, since even a lesser degree of performance nonetheless reduces the amount of final manual processing which the user needs to perform. Incorrect image identification which may be due, for example, to incorrect colour matching, or multiple colour instances (e.g. a couch having the same colour as the selected clothing worn by the desired person), results in false matches, however the user is nonetheless able to consider a reduced sub-set of images in the step 212, and thereby more conveniently remove the incorrectly matched images.

Fig. 3 shows a preferred embodiment by means of which the initial manual selection step 200 described in relation to Fig. 2 is performed. In Fig. 3, the image 100 undergoing the labelling process 214 is shown on a display 302 of a PC 300, the displayed image being shown in more detail in an inset 304. The user makes use of a mouse 306 which is connected to the PC 300 by a connection 308, to point to a particular fashion accessory 110. The action of pointing, depicted by an arrow 310, involves positioning a cursor using the mouse 306, and clicking a mouse button 312. The fashion accessory 110 is discerned by an application running on the PC 300, in a manner described in more detail with reference to Fig. 6. By pointing to the particular fashion accessory 110, the user identifies the key element by which the preferred embodiment is able to both search for the desired person 102 in the plurality of images being considered, and to label the images in which the desired person 102 is present.

Fig. 4 depicts the initial manual processing step 200 in more detail.

In a step 402, event meta-data is utilised in order to reduce an image search space to be considered by the automatic identification sub-process 204 (see Fig. 2). The use of meta-data ensures that only photographs taken during a defined period of time are considered by the labelling process 214, since it is unlikely that the desired person 102 wears the same fashion accessory 110 all the time. In other words, if the user wishes to identify the presence of the particular person 102 in a large core set of images, the user needs to repeat the labelling process depicted in Fig. 2 for each "event" in the core set of images. For example, if the user has used the digital camera to take photographs of a wedding, a beach party, and a family picnic over the course of a weekend, then the photographer needs to conduct three labelling passes of the image data stored in the camera, in order to identify a particular person who may have been present at all three events. The photographer needs to identify a distinctive fashion accessory for each event. Digital cameras increasingly provide meta-data which is useful in the aforementioned process 402. Although user input in addition to camera-provided meta-data can be used to demarcate the boundaries of different events, automatic meta-data provision is preferable.

Whichever basis, ie. automatic or semi-automatic is used to provide the meta-data in the step 402, a subsequent time-stamp grouping step 404 performs analysis of time-stamp meta-data for the photographs. This time-stamp information is used to collect sets of photographs, into groups of images on an event basis. Thereafter, the user points, in step 400, to the distinctive fashion accessory which identifies the desired person as described in relation to Fig. 3. The action of pointing, depicted by the arrow 310, involves positioning a cursor using the mouse 306, and clicking the mouse button 312.

Fig. 5 shows a time-stamp grouping process 404 in more detail, according to an embodiment. The Figure shows time-stamp grouping for a particular day, however the process can be extended to perform time-stamp grouping across an arbitrary time period. In a first step 502, a time-stamp of an image is read. In a following decision step 504, a check is made as to whether the time stamp falls within a period 10.00am to 6.00pm, which typically forms a normal working day during which time people tend to wear the

same set of clothes. If the time-stamp does fall within this period, then the time-stamp process 404 is directed in accordance with a YES arrow to a grouping step 506, which groups all images falling within this time interval into a single event. Thereafter, the time-stamp process 404 is directed back to the checking step 502 to consider a time-stamp for a next image. If, on the other hand, the present image time-stamp does not fall into the aforementioned time interval, the process 404 is directed in accordance with a NO arrow to a following decision step 508, where a time interval from 6.00pm to 8.00pm is considered, this being a “post work” to “pre-evening activity” time interval, during which people usually tend to change their clothes.

During this time interval, people typically go home from their places of employment, and may change clothes once or twice, before commencing evening activities. Accordingly, allowance is made for a number of different events during this time period, these events spanning, say, a period of one hour each. If an image time-stamp falls within one of these periods, the time-stamp process 404 is directed to a grouping step 510, where time stamps, and associated images, occurring within a time interval of less than one hour duration are defined as belonging to the same event. Thereafter, the time-stamp process 404 is directed back to the checking step 502 for a next image. If, however, the time-stamp of the image being considered does not fall into the aforementioned time periods, the time-stamp process 404 is directed in accordance with the NO arrow to a decision block 512, which considers a late night time interval extending between 11.00pm and 6.00am. This time interval generally relates either to sleep, or to late night party activities. In both cases, people tend to wear the same set of clothing, or if they do change clothes say after a party, a three hour time interval is likely to capture most photographs, belonging to a single event. Accordingly, if a time-stamp of the photograph in question falls within this time period (ie 11pm to 6am), the time-stamp process 404 is directed to a grouping step 514, where images whose time-stamps fall within a period of less than three hours are grouped into the same event. Thereafter the time-stamp process 404 is directed back to the checking step 502. If an image time-stamp does not, however, fall into this time period, then the time-stamp process 404 is directed

in accordance with a "NO" arrow to a final decision block 520, which considers all time periods not already accounted for in the previous decision blocks 504, 508 and 512. A time-stamp grouping period of 1½ hours is considered, in a grouping step 518, to constitute an event in this case, whereafter the time-stamp process 404 is directed from the grouping step 518 back to the checking step 502. If the time-stamp of the photograph in question corresponds to a different day to the one being considered, the time-stamp process 404 is directed accordingly to a NO arrow 522 back to the checking step 502. It will be apparent, that the aforementioned time-stamp process can be augmented, and/or amended, in order to extend the use of meta-data for grouping images into events. Furthermore, user interaction is also possible, without altering the fundamental feature of grouping images into events in order to allow use of the preferred embodiment. It will be further apparent, that grouping of images into events can be performed using other methods. Thus, for example, meta-data can be grouped using cluster analysis applied to a frequency with which photographs are taken over a given time period.

Fig. 6 shows a seed/region growing technique (also called a colour segmentation technique) used in the automatic identification step 204 of Fig. 2. This technique is used to designate a colour area which forms the identifying attribute of the desired person being searched for across the set of images. It is also used to reduce the computational requirements for comparison of images. It will be recalled from the description given in relation to Fig. 3, that the photographer pointed to a distinctive fashion accessory 110 in the indicative image 100. The aforementioned pointing is depicted in Fig. 6 by the arrow 310 pointing into the centre of the oval shaded area 600, which in the present example, forms a part of the fashion accessory 110, and which has a particular distinctive colour 602. Taking a practical example, if the shaded area 600 is a shirt worn by Bob, and on the assumption that Bob is to be identified in the set of images under consideration, then the photographer points into the centre of the "shirt" 600, as depicted by the arrow 310. While the action of pointing and clicking using the mouse (see the description in relation to Fig. 3) may be sufficient to identify the fashion accessory 110, a seed/region growing technique is used in one embodiment in order to "fill" the oval shaded area 600. In the

present example, when the photographer thus clicks on Bobs shirt, and assuming that the shirt is red, the photographer will notice on the display 302, that a red colour emanates from the clicked cursor and “fills” Bob’s shirt. This provides a visual feedback to the photographer that the desired fashion accessory, and the appropriate colour, has in fact been selected. Application of the colour segmentation to the selected fashion accessory avoids a problem which might otherwise arise if, for example, the particular pixel selected by the mouse point-and-click happens to be of a different colour, which is speckled in small quantities throughout the shirt. Seed/region growing techniques are available for identifying a region having substantially a uniform colour, these techniques being based on selection of a seed pixel of the specified colour and subsequent comparison thereof to neighbouring pixels. Growing techniques utilize a principle whereby a coordinate, in colour space, associated with a neighbouring pixel is tested against a corresponding coordinate of the “reference” pixel having been pointed to, and a distance between the two points in colour space used as a criterion for deciding whether the two pixels are substantially of the same colour. The use of LAB colour space is recommended, in contrast, for example, to a Red, Green, Blue (RGB) colour space, since the LAB space provides a more linear relationship when calculating distances between pixel coordinates in colour space. In particular, a criterion used in LAB colour space for determining a similarity between pixels is described mathematically as follows:

20

$$\sqrt{(L_2 - L_1)^2 + (A_2 - A_1)^2 + (B_2 - B_1)^2} \leq 1 \quad [1]$$

where “1” subscripts indicate colour coordinates for the reference pixel, and “2” subscripts represent colour coordinates for a pixel being tested. Equation [1] indicates that if a Euclidean distance between coordinates of the two pixels being considered is less than or equal to one, then the colour of the two pixels is considered to be the same.

25

Once a reference colour region 602 is defined for the selected accessory 110 of the indicative image 100 using the seed growing technique, the same technique is applied to each of the plurality of images of interest in the event, ie. 606, 608 ... 610 where these

images 606, 608, 610 constitute a set of images which have been grouped according to the time-stamp grouping process 404 (see Figs. 4 and 5). It is noted, however, that whereas the colour segmentation (ie. the seed/region growing techniques) are applied to the indicative image by the user pointing a mouse, the technique is automatically applied to each of the plurality of images, without requiring user intervention. Automatic colour segmentation can be performed, for example, by placing seeds in different locations of an image, growing regions around the seeds, placing further seeds where required if the segmentation process “misses” certain regions, and continuing in this manner until the entire image is segmented. After the application of the seed/region growing technique, the image 606 comprises a number of different regions, eg. 612, and similarly, images 608 and 610 comprise a number of different regions. Application of the seed/region growing technique transforms images which typically have a very large number of different colours to images with a significantly reduced number of colour regions, typically 12 to 15. These “distinct colours” are used in a comparison step 702 (see Fig. 7) when searching for the designated fashion accessory. Fig. 6 shows that the image 610 contains a region 614 which has the same colour as the region 600, this being depicted by use of the same cross-hatching pattern for the regions 614 and 600.

Fig. 7 shows the automatic identification step 204 discussed in relation to Fig. 2 in more detail. In a step 700, and considering a single event, colour regions in each of the images of the selected set are subjected to the seed/region growing technique as previously described in relation to Fig. 6. The indicative image 100 selected by the user in the pointing step 400 (see Fig. 4) is also subjected to the seed/region growing process. In a following step 702, the selected region 600 in the designated image is compared with each of the regions eg. 612 in the other images in the set. Thereafter, in a step 704, an image 610 in the event set which has a region of the same colour, ie. 614, is categorised as containing the desired fashion accessory, and accordingly, as possibly containing the desired person. From a practical perspective, the image 610 is labelled as containing the desired fashion accessory, and accordingly, as containing the desired person whose presence is being sought. As noted, application of the seed/region growing technique to

typical consumer photographs produces processed images having, typically, fifteen or so different colour regions. One advantage of using the seed/region growing technique as a precursor to performing colour comparisons, for example using the LAB Euclidean distance measure described in Equation [1], is that the number of regions to be compared is reduced to a tractable level. This allows for rapid and convenient processing, in contrast to the situation which would prevail if images were compared on a pixel by pixel basis, which would require a number of computations of a different order than that proposed in the preferred embodiment. In effect, the seed/region growing technique transforms images associated with the particular event into images containing only “distinct” colour regions. The original photographs are, of course, retained, and the “transformed” image only maintained temporarily for use in the automatic identification step 204 (see Fig. 2). Accordingly, the “transformed” image is typically determined as part of the automatic identification process, and not in general stored for later use. If, however, the user wishes to search the set of photographs for a different person, for example, then the transformed images may advantageously be stored for use in that search, rather than again needing to perform the transformation.

Although the previous description described colour comparisons using a Euclidean distance applied to LAB colour space, the comparison can be performed in other ways. For example, fuzzy logic can be used to compensate for subtle differences between images due to differences in lighting and colour conditions. Furthermore, other factors such as size and shape of colour regions can also be incorporated into the comparison step 702. In this latter case, a larger region of colour would have a higher confidence of being a correct match when compared to a reference colour region, than would a colour region of only a few pixels in size. Furthermore, selection of multiple colour reference regions can be used, making use of the same basic mechanism as previously described with reference to a single colour area. This can be arranged, for instance, by selecting a dominant colour target, in addition to one or more secondary colour targets, whose presence is not required for initial matching process, but which can be used as secondary indicators of a correct match.

The aforementioned automatic identification sub-process 204 can be refined by inclusion of skin colour detection as an additional consideration. The use of skin colour detection in conjunction with colour detection using the specified colour of the selected fashion accessory 110 will typically improve the accuracy of the embodiment, since this
5 ensures that a photograph actually contains a person, as well as the identified colour, since the particular colour selected may also, in a given image, attach to a couch.

The aforementioned embodiments are most effective when applied in relation to images taken at a single event such as a party. In such a case, people are, generally, wearing the same clothes and fashion accessories for the duration of the event, and hence
10 the entire set of images can be searched using the same set of defined fashion accessories. Furthermore, the embodiments are most effective when people are wearing distinctive clothes, since this makes the automatic identification sub-process 204 more effective.

One approach to improving the effectiveness of the aforementioned embodiment is to provide people at an event with a small colour sticker, or label, having a distinct and
15 unique colour for each person. This would improve the effectiveness of the described embodiment, and the sticker could be removed by automatic post-processing from the final images.

Turning to Fig. 8, the method of Fig. 2 is preferably practiced using a conventional general-purpose computer system 800, such as that shown in Fig. 8 wherein
20 the processes of Figs. 2, 4, 5 and 7 can be implemented as software, such as an application program executing within the computer system 800. In particular, the steps of identifying a person in one or more images are effected by instructions in the software that are carried out by the computer. The software can be divided into two separate parts, one part for carrying out the method of identifying a person in one or more images, and
25 another part to manage the user interface between the former and the user. The software can be stored in a computer readable medium, including the storage devices described below, for example. The software is loaded into the computer from the computer readable medium, and then executed by the computer. A computer readable medium having such software or computer program recorded on it is a computer program product.

The use of the computer program product in the computer preferably effects an advantageous apparatus for identifying a person in one or more images in accordance with the embodiments of the invention.

5 The computer system 800 comprises a computer module 801, input devices such as a keyboard 802 and mouse 803, output devices including a printer 815 and a display device 814. A Modulator-Demodulator (Modem) transceiver device 816 is used by the computer module 801 for communicating to and from a communications network 820, for example connectable via a telephone line 821 or other functional medium. The modem 816 can be used to obtain access to the Internet, and other network systems, such as a Local Area Network (LAN) or a Wide Area Network (WAN).

10 The computer module 801 typically includes at least one processor unit 805, a memory unit 806, for example formed from semiconductor random access memory (RAM) and read only memory (ROM), input/output (I/O) interfaces including a video interface 807, and an I/O interface 813 for the keyboard 802 and mouse 803 and optionally a joystick (not illustrated), and an interface 808 for the modem 816. A storage device 809 is provided and typically includes a hard disk drive 810 and a floppy disk drive 811. A magnetic tape drive (not illustrated) can also be used. A CD-ROM drive 812 is typically provided as a non-volatile source of data. The components 805 to 813 of the computer module 801, typically communicate via an interconnected bus 804 and in a manner which results in a conventional mode of operation of the computer system 800 known to those in the relevant art. Examples of computers on which the embodiments can be practised include IBM-PC's and compatibles, Sun Sparcstations or alike computer systems evolved therefrom.

25 Typically, the application program of the preferred embodiment is resident on the hard disk drive 810 and read and controlled in its execution by the processor 805. Intermediate storage of the program and any data fetched from the network 820 can be accomplished using the semiconductor memory 806, possibly in concert with the hard disk drive 810. In some instances, the application program can be supplied to the user encoded on a CD-ROM or floppy disk and read via the corresponding drive 812 or 811,

or alternatively can be read by the user from the network 820 via the modem device 816. Still further, the software can also be loaded into the computer system 800 from other computer readable medium including magnetic tape, a ROM or integrated circuit, a magneto-optical disk, a radio or infra-red transmission channel between the computer
5 module 801 and another device, a computer readable card such as a PCMCIA card, and the Internet and Intranets including email transmissions and information recorded on websites and the like. The foregoing is merely exemplary of relevant computer readable mediums. Other computer readable mediums can be practiced without departing from the scope and spirit of the invention.

10 The method of identifying a person in one or more images can alternatively be implemented in dedicated hardware such as one or more integrated circuits performing the functions or sub functions of identifying a person in one or more images . Such dedicated hardware can include graphic processors, digital signal processors, or one or more microprocessors and associated memories.

15

Industrial Applicability

It is apparent from the above that the embodiment(s) of the invention are applicable to the image processing, consumer and professional photography industries.

The foregoing describes only some embodiments of the present invention, and
20 modifications and/or changes can be made thereto without departing from the scope and spirit of the invention, the embodiments being illustrative and not restrictive.

~~Claims~~

The Claims defining the invention are as follows:

1. A method of identifying a person in a target image, said method comprising steps of:

5 selecting a fashion accessory worn by the person in an indicative image, said fashion accessory having a distinctive colour;

searching said target image for the fashion accessory; and

tagging the target image if said fashion accessory is found.

10 2. A method according to claim 1, comprising, prior to the selecting step, additional steps of:

deriving meta-data for a core set of images;

grouping said core set into one or more event image sets dependent upon said meta-data; and

15 choosing a desired image set, comprising an indicative image and at least one target image, from said one or more event image sets.

3. A method according to claim 2, whereby said meta-data comprises time stamps associated with the images in the core set, and said grouping step comprises, in
20 relation to an image in said core set, a sub-step of:

assigning said image to an event if an associated time stamp falls within a predetermined event time interval.

4. A method according to claim 2, whereby said meta-data comprises time
25 stamps for the images in the core set, and said grouping step comprises, in relation to an image in said core set of images, a sub-step of:

assigning said image to an event if an associated time stamp can be clustered with time stamps associated with other images in said event.

5. A method according to claim 1, whereby said searching step comprises sub-steps of:

colour segmenting said target image into one or more colour regions;

determining whether said distinctive colour matches at least one of said one or
5 more colour regions; and

flagging a presence of said fashion accessory if a match is found.

6. An apparatus adapted to perform any one of the aforementioned methods.

10

7. A computer program product including a computer readable medium having recorded thereon a computer program for implementing any one of the methods described above.

15 8. A method of identifying a person in an image substantially as described herein with reference to the accompanying drawings.

9. An apparatus adapted to identify a person in an image substantially as described herein with reference to the accompanying drawings.

20

10. A computer program product including a computer readable medium having recorded thereon a computer program for identifying a person in an image substantially as described herein with reference to the accompanying drawings.

25

DATED this twenty fourth Day of July 2000

Canon Kabushiki Kaisha

Patent Attorneys for the Applicant

SPRUSON & FERGUSON




Fig. 1




Fig. 2




Fig. 3




Fig. 4




Fig. 5





Fig. 6




Fig. 7




Fig. 8